### Artículo original

# Resonant Recognition Model Study for Interactions between SARS CoV 2 and **Human Proteins**

Estudio del modelo de reconocimiento resonante para las interacciones entre el SARS CoV 2 y las proteínas humanas

Susana Margarita Montesino Castillo <sup>1</sup>	0000-0003-3491-5666
Cristóbal Yera Gálvez <sup>2</sup>	0000-0003-3491-5666
José Luis Hernández Cáceres <sup>2*</sup>	0000-0002-4406-444X

<sup>1</sup>Instituto Superior de Tecnologías y Ciencias Aplicadas (InSTEC). Cuba <sup>2</sup>Centro de Neurociencias de Cuba. Cuba

<sup>\*</sup>Autor para la correspondencia: jose.caceres@cneuro.edu.cu

#### ABSTRACT

This study was devoted to the Resonant Recognition Model (RRM) analysis of SARS-CoV-2 proteins and their possible interaction with other human proteins, specifically, SARS CoV replicases and methyl transferases, were tested, via RRM analysis, for possible interactions with host CD4 T receptor proteins and prohibitins which participate in human organism response to viral infections. The following protein sequences were studied: twenty human SARS coronavirus methyltransferase proteins, eight replicase proteins, twenty-one prohibitin proteins, and eleven CD4 –T-cell surface antigens T4 proteins. Results revealed RRM peaks at f1=0.07349 and f2=0.2839. The peak at f1 was also common for interaction between SARS-CoV-2 methyl transferases and human prohibitins, where opposite phase suggest binding between these proteins during viral infection. This interaction was not supported for viral methyltransferase and human CD4 receptors (72.4 ° phase shift). Viral replicases exhibited opposite phase interaction with both prohibitins and CD4 receptors. Overall, RRM revealed common RRM frequencies for both replicases and methyl transferases, and added plausibility to interactions between SARSCoV2 methyl transferase and human prohibitin, as well as between SARS Cov2 replicase and human prohibitin and CD4 T-cell receptors.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2; replicase; methyl transferase; Resonant Recognition Model; CD4 T receptor; prohibitin.







### RESUMEN

Este estudio se dedicó al análisis mediante el Modelo de Reconocimiento Resonante (RRM) de las proteínas del SARS-CoV-2 y su posible interacción con otras proteínas humanas, específicamente, fueron analizadas las replicasas de SARS CoV y las metiltransferasas, mediante análisis RRM, para detectar posibles interacciones con las Proteínas del receptor CD4 T y las prohibitinas humanas, las cuales participan en la respuesta del organismo humano a las infecciones virales. Se estudiaron las siguientes secuencias de proteínas: veinte proteínas metiltransferasas del coronavirus del SARS humano, ocho replicasas, veintiuna prohibitinas y once proteínas T4 de antígenos de superficie de células T CD4. Los resultados revelaron picos de RRM en f1 = 0.07349 y f2 = 0.2839. El pico en f1 también fue común para la interacción entre las metiltransferasas del SARS-CoV-2 y las prohibitinas humanas, donde la fase opuesta sugiere la unión entre estas proteínas durante la infección viral. Esta interacción no fue apoyada para la metiltransferasa viral y los receptores CD4 humanos (cambio de fase de 72,4 o). Las réplicas virales exhibieron una interacción de fase opuesta tanto con las prohibitinas como con los receptores CD4. En general, el análisis de RRM reveló frecuencias comunes de RRM para replicasas y metiltransferasas, y apoyó plausibilidad de las interacciones entre la metiltransferasa de SARSCoV2 y la prohibitina humana, así como entre la replicasa de Cov2 del SARS con la prohibitina humana y los receptores de células T CD4.

Palabras clave: SARS-CoV-2; replicasa; metil transferasa; modelo de reconocimiento resonante; receptor CD4 T; prohibitina.

Recibido: 26/11/2020 Aprobado: 23/12/2020

# Introduction

The current coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has been caused by a new, previously unknown severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). After almost a year of intensive research, there is not yet an efficacious treatment for the disease, and by November 2020 the pandemic seems like gone out of control. Meanwhile, old and new approaches have been tested, and new knowledge is being obtained.

Almost 30 years ago, Professor Irena Cosic proposed the Resonant Recognition Model (RRM) for protein-protein interactions <sup>(1-30)</sup>. Evidence suggests that this approach can shape new therapeutic interventions for a large number of diseases and conditions <sup>(4-7)</sup>.

An area where the RRM could find practical applications is the study of infectious diseases, where interaction between proteins from the infecting agent and receptor proteins from host cells play a key role. Thus RRM has been applied to the study of VIH [8], Plasmodium falciparum <sup>(9),(10)</sup>, Ebola <sup>(11)</sup> and Zika <sup>(12)</sup> viruses. Recently, Cosic et al showed that Covid-19 spike S1 proteins might interact with both ACE2 receptors from lung epithelium, as well as Band-3 proteins from





human erythrocytes, shading new light into early findings about vascular implications of the disease <sup>(13)</sup>.

This study is also devoted to the RRM analysis of SARS-CoV-2 proteins and their possible interaction with other human proteins.

We focused our study on SARS CoV replicases and methyl transferases, which play a fundamental role in viral replication, and tested, via RRM analysis, for possible interactions with host CD4 T receptor proteins and prohibitins which participate in human organism response to viral infections.

# Methods and Materials

## Methods—Resonant Recognition Model (RRM)

The Resonant Recognition Model (RRM) is a biophysical model that arises from the postulation that proteins can recognize each other via resonant exchange of electromagnetic energy at very specific frequencies, which are unique for each biological function/interaction <sup>(1-3)</sup>.

These frequencies can be worked out via finding specific periodicities (frequencies) in the distribution of free electron energy along proteins. For finding RRM frequencies, a consensus spectrum is obtained via cross-multiplication of power spectra of protein groups sharing a common function or putatively interacting.

RRM allows performing a detailed analysis of interactions between proteins and putative ligands/targets without any exploration of their homology, 3D structures or complicated physical calculations.

In particular, a key point in the RRM is the demonstration by Cosic that interacting proteins have opposite phases at their characteristic RRM frequency. A phase difference of or about 3.14 rad is considered opposite phase <sup>(9), (12), (13)</sup>. A practical consequence for this is that once the characteristic biological function of the protein's functional group has been identified, it is possible to design new proteins with the desired frequency components and, consequently, with the desired biological functions <sup>(5),(6)</sup>.

One interesting corollary of RRM approach is the prediction of electromagnetic frequencies at which relevant interactions are taking place. <sup>(14)</sup>

RRM approach has already been successfully applied and experimentally tested in several scenarios <sup>(1-15)</sup>.

Details of RRM procedure have been described in previous publications <sup>(15)</sup> briefly, in a protein sequence each amino-acid is substituted by its electron-ion - interaction potential value (EIIP),





and the obtained succession is treated as a time series. The series is transformed into time domain and relevant frequencies are found via cross multiplication of corresponding spectra. Pairwise comparisons allow finding phase shifts for checking possible protein-protein interactions.

### Materials

### Protein Sequences Analyzed by RRM

The following protein sequences from UniProt Database have been analyzed using the RRM:

2.2.1 Twenty Human SARS coronavirus methyltransferase proteins:

- 1. Q19QX2: 2'-O-methyltransferase Human SARS coronavirus (SARS-CoV)
- A0A6C0X332: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
  A0A6C021VG2: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory
- 3. A0A6B9UY63: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
- 4. A0A6C0R287: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
- 5. A0A6C0R294: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
- 6. A0A679G4B7: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
- 7. A0A6C0N6C5: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
- 8. A0A679G4C7: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
- 9. A0A6C1BAC9: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
- 10. A0A6C0N6E9: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
- 11. A0A6B9WIH5: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
- 12. A0A6B9WIK4: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
- 13. A0A6C0QEL8: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
- 14. A0A6B9VNL0: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
- 15. A0A6C0QEM7: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
- 16. A0A6C0QEN3: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)





- 17. A0A6B9W0R7: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
- 18. A0A6B9VSU5: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
- 19. A0A6B9VSV5: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)
- 20. A0A6C0RS15: orf1ab 2'-O-methyltransferase precursor Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)

### **Eight replicase proteins**

- 1. POC6F8: Replicase polyprotein 1a Bat coronavirus HKU3 (BtCoV)(SARS-like coronavirus HKU3)
- 2. POC6T7: Replicase polyprotein 1a Bat coronavirus Rp3/2004 (BtCoV/Rp3/2004)(SARS-like coronavirus Rp3)
- 3. P0C6V9: Replicase polyprotein 1ab Bat coronavirus 279/2005 (BtCoV)(BtCoV/279/2005)
- 4. POC6W2: Replicase polyprotein 1ab Bat coronavirus HKU3 (BtCoV)(SARS-like coronavirus HKU3)
- 5. P0C6W6: Replicase polyprotein 1ab Bat coronavirus Rp3/2004 (BtCoV/Rp3/2004)(SARS-like coronavirus Rp3)
- 6. POC6X7: Replicase polyprotein 1ab Human SARS coronavirus (SARS-CoV)(Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus)
- 7. PODTD1: Replicase polyprotein 1ab Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)(SARS-CoV-2)
- 8. P0C6C1: Replicase polyprotein 1a Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (2019-nCoV)(SARS-CoV-2)

### Twenty-one prohibitin proteins

- 1. G1M116: PHB Prohibitin Ailuropoda melanoleuca (Giant panda)
- 2. HOUTX5: PHB Prohibitin Cavia porcellus (Guinea pig)
- 3. A0A3Q2H5B0: PHB Prohibitin Equus caballus (Horse)
- 4. A8WA76: PHB Prohibitin Felis catus (Cat)
- 5. U3JWZ8: PHB Prohibitin Ficedula albicollis (Collared flycatcher)
- 6. P35232: PHB Prohibitin Homo sapiens (Human)
- 7. F7HLX2: PHB Prohibitin Macaca mulatta (Rhesus macaque)
- 8. G1MU38: PHB Prohibitin Meleagris gallopavo (Wild turkey)
- 9. P86220: PHB Prohibitin Mesocricetus auratus (Golden hamster)
- 10. M3YVB1: PHB Prohibitin Mustela putorius furo (European domestic ferret)
- 11. K7F9Z0: PHB Prohibitin Pelodiscus sinensis (Chinese softshell turtle)
- 12. P67779: Phb Prohibitin Rattus norvegicus (Rat)
- 13. G3VR15: PHB Prohibitin Sarcophilus harrisii (Tasmanian devil)
- 14. F2Z543: PHB Prohibitin Sus scrofa (Pig)
- 15. P40961: PHB Prohibitin Saccharomyces cerevisiae
- 16. P50093: PHB2 Prohibitin Caenorhabditis elegans





- 17. Q3V235: PHB2 Prohibitin Mus musculus(Mouse)
- 18. Q2HJ97: PHB2 Prohibitin Bos Taurus(Bovine)
- 19. Q99623:PHB2 Prohibitin Homo Sapiens(Human)
- 20. O35129: PHB2 Prohibitin Mus musculus(Mouse)
- 21. Q5RB19:PHB2– Prohibitin Pongo abelii(Sumatran orangutan)

# Eleven CD4 –T-cell surface antigen T4 proteins

- 1. A0A2K5K7H1: CD4 T-cell surface antigen T4/Leu-3 Colobus angolensis palliatus (Peters' Angolan colobus)
- 2. F6Y6X8: CD4 T-cell surface antigen T4/Leu-3 Equus caballus (Horse)
- 3. G3QL31: CD4 T-cell surface antigen T4/Leu-3 Gorilla gorilla gorilla (Western lowland gorilla)
- 4. A0A2K6DWJ0: CD4 T-cell surface antigen T4/Leu-3 Macaca nemestrina (Pig-tailed macaque)
- 5. I6LI22: CD4 T-cell surface antigen T4/Leu-3 Mustela putorius furo (European domestic ferret)
- 6. A0A096MWA4: CD4 T-cell surface antigen T4/Leu-3 Papio anubis (Olive baboon)
- 7. P01730: CD4 T-cell surface glycoprotein CD4 precursor Homo sapiens (Human)
- 8. P16003: CD4 T-cell surface glycoprotein CD4 precursor Macaca mulatta (Rhesus macaque)
- 9. P06332: Cd4 T-cell surface glycoprotein CD4 precursor Mus musculus (Mouse)
- 10. P16004: CD4 T-cell surface glycoprotein CD4 precursor Pan troglodytes (Chimpanzee)
- 11. P05540: Cd4 T-cell surface glycoprotein CD4 precursor Rattus norvegicus (Rat)

# Results SARS CoV proteins Replicases

In figure 1, the consensus spectrum for coronavirus replicases is shown. A prominent peak appeared at f=0.2839 (corresponding to 708 nm, visible red light).

A much smaller, but detectable second peak appeared at f=0.07439 (Figure 1a)







#### Multiple Cross-Spectral of eight replicase proteins

Fig.1- Resonant Recognition Model (RRM) consensus spectrum for SARS-CoV replicases with common RRM characteristic frequencies of f=0.2839. These correspond to wavelengths of 708 nm corresponding to visible red light.



Fig.1a- Zooming of fig 1, revealing the presence of a very minor, but observable peak at f=0.07349 (2735nm, in the infrared region).





### Methyl transferases

In figure 2, the consensus spectrum for methyl transferases is shown. A peak is obtained at f=0.07349 (2735nm, in the infrared region). A smaller, but still observable peak is at f=0.2839, thus coincident with both peaks for replicases.



**Fig.2**- Resonant Recognition Model (RRM) consensus spectrum for SARS-CoV Methyl Transferases with common RRM characteristic frequencies of 0.07349. This corresponds to a wavelength of 2735nm, in the infrared region. A second, smaller peak appears at 0.2839

# Consensus Spectrum for Replicases and Methyl Transferases.

In figure 3, it can be observed the presence of both above mentioned frequencies.







Fig. 3- Consensus spectrum for replicases and methyl transferases, revealing peaks at f1=0.07349 and f2=0.2839.

### Interaction Between Human and SARS Covid-2 Proteins.

### SARS CoV-Methyl transferases

As figures 4 and 5 revealed, Methyl transferases share common peaks with both prohibitins and CD4 receptors, at f=0.07459. However, opposite phases were observed only for SARS Covid2 methyl transferase and human prohibitin, but not for CD4 (figures 6 and 7)







**Fig.4**- Resonant Recognition Model (RRM) consensus spectrum for SARS-CoV-2 methyl transferases and prohibitins with common RRM characteristic frequencies of 0.07349. This corresponds to a wavelength of 2735nm, in the infrared range.



**Fig. 5**- Resonant Recognition Model (RRM) consensus spectrum for SARS-CoV-2 methyl transferases and CD4 –T-cell surface antigens T4 proteins with common RRM characteristic frequencies of 0.07349.







#### Methyltransferase(blue) and PHB(red)



**Fig. 6**- Phase circles at frequency of 0.07349 for 2'-O-methyltransferase from Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) with phase of 117.5° in blue, and for human Prohibitin with phase of 302.8° in red. It can be observed that corresponding phases are almost opposite (174.7° or 0.97 $\pi$  rad), supporting the RRM approach that interacting proteins should have opposite phases at frequencies characterizing their recognition and interaction.



**Fig. 7**- Phase circles at frequency of 0.07349 for 2'-O-methyltransferase from Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) with phase of 117.5° in blue, and for human CD4 - T-cell surface glycoprotein precursor with phase of 189.9° in green. It can be easily observed that corresponding phases are far from opposite (72.4° or 0.40 $\pi$  rad phase shift), not lending support for possible interaction.





# Replicases

RRM analysis revealed opposite phases at f=0.07349 for SARS Coid2 replicase and Human CD4 T receptor protein (phase shift =1.06 $\pi$ ).

Rather different was the interaction of replicases and prohibitins. The consensus spectrum exhibited a peak at f=0.0318 (6321 nm, in the infrared range). At this frequency, opposite phases between SARS Cov2 replicase and human prohibitin was observed (figure 8).



**Fig. 8**- Phase circles at frequency of f=0.0318 for 2'-O-methyltransferase from Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2 in magenta), and for human Prohibitin in red. It can be observed that corresponding phases are almost opposite (195.5° or  $1.08\pi$  rad), suggesting protein interaction.

In Table 1, found interactions between studied human and viral proteins are represented.





Table 1 Cumanaam	of interestions between	امتنا الممرم مرممينا مطل	anataina atudiaa
Table 1- Summar	of interactions between	the numan and viral	proteins studies

SARS Cov2\Human	Prohibitins	CD4 –T-cell surface antigens T4
Replicases	+	+
2'-O-methyltransferase (NSP16)	+	-

# Discussion

This study revealed the presence of two consensus frequencies present in both SARS CoV replicases and methyl transferases, f1 at 0.2839 and f2 at 0.07349. Frequency f2 is common for interactions with prohibitins as well as CD4 T receptors, phase analysis suggests that SARSCov2 methyl transferase binds to human prohibitin, but not to CD4 receptors, whereas SARS Cov2 replicase binds to membrane CD4 T receptor. Binding of replicase to PHB takes place at other frequency, namely f3=0.0318.

These results might reveal certain implications. Thus f2, corresponding to an infrared wave at 2735 nm is expected to play an important role in the interaction between viral and human proteins.

Our results seem to be compatible with known facts about the four proteins studied.

During coronavirus infection, replication starts with the human cell penetration. At this stage, the virus losses its cap and viral RNA is released into the cytoplasm. Viral RNA has a methylated cap, and this helps disguising in such a way that host cell cannot identify it as alien, making it possible the binding to ribosome and subsequent translation <sup>(16)</sup>. The first protein synthesized by host cell ribosome is the replicase.<sup>(17)</sup> Viral proteases cleave the replicase at several sites, originating several structural, and 16 nonstructural proteins (NSP's). The last of them, NSP16, corresponds to 2'-O-methyltransferase. Methyltransferase plays a role in cap methylation and, correspondingly is regarded as a major target in disease therapy. Being a sequence contained in the native replicase, it is not surprising the existence of shared frequencies, as well as shared interaction with prohibitins. Being the first protein to be synthesized by the host cell, it seems plausible to observe viral replicase interaction with human CD4 T cell receptors.

Prohibitin plays a key role in viral infection. Thus, it has been shown that PHB is a receptor mediating dengue virus DENV-2entry into insect cells. <sup>(18-20)</sup>

It has been reported that PHB binds to several SARS CoV NSP's <sup>(16)</sup> and binding to nsp16 would not be surprising. However, this seems to be the first evidence from literature supporting this interaction.





Thus, the Resonant Recognition Model is again providing concrete evidences for the interaction between SARS Cov2 proteins and proteins from human cells. Prospectively, this could help in the design of new peptides that could help in the production of new vaccines as well as the possibility to explore the use of coherent red light at 2735nm as a way to curb viral infection among certain groups of patients. The idea is not new <sup>(10), (12), (14)</sup>, but never has been tested so far.

# Conclusions

Application of Resonant Recognition Model to the study of coronaviruses revealed common RRM frequencies for both replicases and methyl transferases, and added plausibility to interactions between SARSCoV2 methyl transferase and human prohibitin, as well as between SARS Cov2 replicase and human prohibitin and CD4 T-cell receptors.

# References

1. Cosic I. Macromolecular Bioactivity: Is it Resonant Interaction between Macromolecules?-Theory and Applications. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng .1994; 41: 1101–1114.

2. Cosic I. Virtual spectroscopy for fun and profit. Nature Biotechnology.1995; 13: 236–238.

3. Cosic I. The Resonant Recognition Model of Macromolecular Bioactivity: Theory and Applications.8.1. Basel, Switzerland: Birkhäuser Verlag; 2012.

4. Cosic I, Pirogova E. Bioactive Peptide Design using the Resonant Recognition Model. Nonlinear Biomed Phys. 2007; 1: 7.

5. Cosic I, Drummond A E, Underwood J R, Hearn M T W. In vitro inhibition of the actions of basic FGF by novel 16 amino acid peptides. Mol Cell Biochem.1994; 130: 1–9.

6. Istivan T, Pirogova E, Gan E, Almansour NM, Coloe PJ, Cosic I. Biological effects of a de novo designed myxoma virus peptide analogue: Evaluation of cytotoxicity on tumor cells. PLoS ONE. 2011; 6(9):e24809.

7. Vojisavljevic V, Pirogova E, Cosic I. The Effect of Electromagnetic Radiation (550 nm-850nm) on I-Lactate Dehydrogenase Kinetics. Internat J Radiat Biol. 2007; 83:221–30.

8. Hernández Caceres JL, Cosic I, Cosic D. Retroviral Proteases Viewed Through the Resonant Recognition Model. Medical Review.2014; 6(2): 117-123.

9. Hernandez Caceres J L, Cosic I ,Cosic D. Application of the Resonant Recognition Model to the Study of Plasmodium Proteins Involved in Malaria Infection. MD Med Data. 2015; 7: 7–14.

10. Cosic I, Hernandez Caceres J L ,Cosic D. Possibility to interfere with malaria parasite activity using specific electromagnetic frequencies. EPJ Nonlinear Biomedical Physics. 2015; 3:11





11. Murugan NJ, Karbowski LM, Persinger MA. Cosic's Resonance Recognition Model for Protein Sequences and Photon Emission Differentiates Lethal and Non-Lethal Ebola Strains: Implications for Treatment. Open J Biophysics. 2014;5(1):35-43.

12. Hernández Cáceres J L. Application of resonant recognition model analysis to zika virus envelope protein. Rev Electron Biomed / Electron J Biomed 2015; 3: 15-20.

13. Cosic I, Cosic D , Loncarevic I. RRM Prediction of Erythrocyte Band3 Protein as Alternative Receptor for SARS-CoV-2 Virus. Appl Sci. 2020; 10: 4053-4062.

14. Lukasz M. Karbowski, Nirosha J. Murugan. Novel Cosic resonance (standing wave) solutions for components of the JAK–STAT cellular signaling pathway: A convergence of spectral density profiles. FEBS Open Bio. 2015; 5: 245 – 250.

15. MYBPC, Risco, et al., 2008

16. Ng Y L. Functional studies of viral and host cell factors involved in the regulation of coronavirus replication and pathogenesis. PhD thesis. Nanyang Technological University. Singapore, 2019.

17. Mirzaei R , Karampoor S, Sholeh M, Moradi P, Ranjbar R, Ghasemi F. A contemporary review on pathogenesis and immunity of COVID-19 infection. Molecular Biology Reports. 2020; 47:5365–5376.

18. Kuadkitkan A, Wikan N, Fongsaran C, Smith D R. Identification and characterization of prohibitin as a receptor protein mediating DENV-2 entry into insect cells. Virology. (2010); 406 :149-161.

19. Clyde K, Kyle J L, Harris E. Recent advances in deciphering viral and host determinants of dengue virus replication and pathogenesis. J Virol. 2006; 80(23): 11418-11431.

20. Sharma A, Vasanthapuram R, Venkataswamy M M, Desai A. Prohibitin 1/2 mediates Dengue-3 entry into human neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) and microglia (CHME-3) cells. J Biomed Sci.2020; 27(1):55.

